

Radiation Shielding for Lunar Missions: Regolith Considerations

Tony C. Slaba NASA Langley Research Center, Hampton, VA, USA

LSIC Crosstalk 7/18/2022



Outline

- Galactic cosmic rays (GCR)
- Mission exposure and risk projections
- Lunar radiation environment
- Shielding
- On-Line Tool for the Assessment of Radiation in Space (OLTARIS)
- Summary



Galactic Cosmic Rays

- GCR include a complex mixture of particles
 - VERY different than radiation on Earth
 - Includes all ions on the periodic table
 - Continuous low dose-rate exposure in space



Relative abundance of elements in the 1977 solar minimum GCR environment, normalized to Ne



Galactic Cosmic Rays

- GCR include a broad distribution of particle energies
 - Accelerators and medical applications typically use a single energy (e.g. 150 MeV proton therapy)
 - GCR velocities approach the speed of light
 - Able to penetrate shielding and human tissue
 - Difficult to shield with current technologies
 - Nuclear interactions with lunar surface give rise to albedo radiation





Projections for Deep Space Missions



	Artemis II	Artemis III surface ops	Artemis III	6 months Gateway	12 months Gateway	Mars DRM
Duration (days)	10	23.5 + 6.5 surface	30	183	365	621 + 40 surface
Effective dose (mSv)	10	27	30	182	364	640



Projections for Deep Space Missions





Lunar Surface Radiation Environment

- Two primary deep space radiation environments
 - Galactic cosmic rays (GCR): continuous, omnipresent spectrum of highly energetic ions
 - Solar particle events (SPE): sporadic and intense bursts of medium energy protons from the sun • For majority of SPE, lunar albedo environment is small (often ignored in exposure calculations)
- These environments interact with lunar regolith, yielding albedo radiation
 - Lunar albedo environment is complex
 - Neutrons are the primary health concern
- The lunar surface radiation environment has two main components
 - Ambient deep space radiation \rightarrow downward directed
 - Induced (albedo) environment \rightarrow upward directed



Original image: https://moon.nasa.gov/news/155/theres-water-on-the-moon



Characterization

- Virtually no direct measurements of lunar surface environment
 - Neutron spectrum has never been measured over the full range of relevant energies (1)
 - Limited surface dose measurements

• Knowledge of lunar environment comes mainly from model predictions (2-4)

- Validation with ground-based accelerator data and available space flight data
- Validation with Mars surface measurements
- Verification through extensive model comparisons

• Various reports for lunar shielding strategies, shield optimization, and trade studies

- Selected publications:
 - o Wilson, J.W., et al., Shielding strategies for human exploration, NASA CP 3360; 1997.
 - o NASA, NASA's exploration systems architecture study, NASA TM 2005-214062; 2005.
 - o Slaba et al., Variation in lunar neutron dose estimates, Rad. Res. 176: 827-841; 2011. (and references therein)

⁽¹⁾ Valinia et al. Safe human expeditions beyond low Earth orbit (LEO). NASA TM 20220002905, NESC RP 20-01589; 2022.

⁽²⁾ Wilson et al., Verification and validation: high charge and energy (HZE) transport codes and future development. NASA TP 2005-213784; 2005.

⁽³⁾ Hassler et al., Mars science laboratory radiation assessment detector (MSL/RAD) modeling workshop proceedings. Life Sci. Space Res. special issue; 2017.

⁽⁴⁾ Norbury et al., Advances in space radiation physics and transport at NASA. Life Sci. Space Res. 22: 98-124; 2019.



Regolith Composition Effects

						TAE	BLE 2					
		Elemental Mass Percentage of Published Lunar Compositions										
		¹⁶ O	²³ Na	²⁴ Mg	²⁷ Al	²⁸ Si	³⁹ K	⁴⁰ Ca	⁴⁸ Ti	⁵² Cr	⁵⁵ Mn	⁵⁶ Fe
А	Lingenfelter	42.32		4.84	7.36	19.9		8.66	4.57			12.48
В	Reedy	43.29	0.35	4.03	11.07	20.13	0.12	10.07	1.41	0.10	0.08	9.06
C	Dagge	41.93		4.78	7.23	20.26		8.99	4.36			12.45
D	McKinney	41.74	0.29	6.16	6.06	19.03	0.07	7.54	5.14	0.29	0.18	13.50
E	McKinney	41.56	0.31	6.03	5.98	18.96	0.08	7.67	4.91	0.31	0.18	14.03
F	McKinney	42.30	0.31	6.16	7.38	19.67	0.09	8.02	3.38	0.26	0.15	12.28
G	McKinney	42.64	0.35	6.09	7.55	20.22	0.16	7.71	3.20	0.25	0.15	11.69
H	Joliff	41.45	0.28	5.74	5.78	18.69	0.07	7.64	5.78	0.32	0.19	13.86
Ι	Hayatsu	44.90	0.34	3.42	14.44	20.69	0.14	11.23	0.32	0.23	0.23	3.97
J	Pham	45.17	0.33	2.93	14.62	21.06	0.08	12.22	0.22	0.06	0.04	3.25
K	Pham	44.10	0.52	5.78	9.46	22.13	0.50	8.17	0.96	0.14	0.08	8.18
L	Denisov	42.40		4.83	6.88	19.64		8.08	4.32			13.53

Note, Row A is from Lingenfelter et al. (25), row B is from Reedy (26), row C is from Dagge et al. (27), rows D-G are from McKinney et al. (1), row H is from Joliff et al. (24), row I is from Hayatsu et al. (10), rows J and K are from Pham and El-Genk (12), and row L is from Denisov et al. (13).



TABLE 3 Effective Dose from Neutrons for SPE Environments (mSy/event) and for GCR Environments (mSy/year) using Various Lunar Regolith Compositions and Neutron Fluence-to-Effective Dose Conversion Coefficients from Bozkurt et al. (3, 6)

		SPE (mS	v/event)	GCR (mSv/year)		
	Source	1956 LaRC	1972 King	Solar min	Solar max	
A	Lingenfelter	22.8	25.4	49.6	22.5	
В	Reedy	22.0	24.7	47.2	21.3	
C	Dagge	22.8	25.4	49.7	22.6	
D	McKinney	23.0	25.7	50.2	22.8	
E	McKinney	23.1	25.8	50.5	23.0	
F	McKinney	22.6	25.3	48.9	22.2	
G	McKinney	22.4	25.2	48.4	22.0	
Н	Joliff	23.2	25.8	50.8	23.1	
I	Hayatsu	21.1	23.9	44.7	20.1	
J	Pham	21.5	24.4	45.8	20.7	
Κ	Pham	21.0	23.8	44.5	20.0	
L	Denisov	22.9	25.5	49.8	22.6	
	Average	22.4	25.1	48.3	21.9	

Note. The bottom row represents the average of the effective dose results shown in rows A-L.

Regolith composition has minimal • impact on albedo environment

- <10% on neutron albedo exposure (unshielded) -
- ~2% on total exposure (unshielded)

Water content in lunar regolith could moderately influence albedo environment

- More water \rightarrow bigger impact -
- Note that hydrogen content attenuates mainly lower energy neutrons that make smaller contributions to health risks
- Albedo environment is a small fraction of the total exposure on the surface

Tables and plot data from: Slaba et al., Rad. Res. 176: 827-841; 2011.

9



Shielding Comparisons

- Water equivalent thickness or meters-of-water-equivalent
 - Common concepts in terrestrial radiation protection
 - Not often used in space radiation protection
- Shield comparisons are performed on the basis of areal density units (q/cm^2)
 - Areal density (q/cm^2) = Shield length $(cm) \times$ material density (q/cm^3)
- Density effects have minimal impact on shielding efficiency for space radiation
 - Lunar regolith (ρ = 1.6 g/cm³) Lunar regolith (ρ = 3.2 g/cm³)

Same shielding effectiveness at equal g/cm²

- NASA radiation standards are not written in terms of shield thickness requirements
 - Universal 600 mSv career limit for all astronauts
 - Exposure limits short and long terms deterministic effects (i.e. non-cancer)
 - Exposure limits set to protect against acute effects from possible solar storms
 - Developing guidance for GCR protection based on exposure levels

See NASA Standard 3001



Shielding Comparisons



- Lunar regolith performs similar to aluminum shielding
 - Lunar regolith is mainly O, Si, Al, Fe
 - Atomic constituents have similar masses
 - Water is a more efficient shield material
 - Hydrogen content limits secondary particle production and attenuates neutrons
 - Polyethylene behaves similar to water

Plot data generated from the OLTARIS website: https://oltaris.nasa.gov



Shielding Comparisons



- Beyond ~200 g/cm² of shielding, the exposure is dominated by nucleons, pions, muons, and electromagnetic components
 - Same maximum occurs in Earth's atmosphere
 - Regener-Pfotzer maximum
- At 1000 g/cm², the exposure is still higher than "Earth-like" levels

Plot data generated from the OLTARIS website: https://oltaris.nasa.gov



OLTARIS

https://oltaris.nasa.gov



User Nam Password



On-Line Tool for the Assessment of Radiation In Space

- Web-based tool for assessing space radiation exposure and risk
- Based on state-of-the-art NASA models
- Analysis capabilities include:
 - Mission scenarios
 - \circ low Earth orbit
 - \circ deep space (~ 1 AU)
 - o <u>lunar surface</u>
 - Mars surface
 - Response functions
 - Absorbed dose in materials
 - o Dose equivalent
 - o ICRP and <u>NASA effective dose</u>
 - \circ Risk of exposure induced cancer/death
 - Spectral quantities (flux as function of energy or LET)
 - Geometry
 - o Slabs
 - \circ Spheres
 - \circ User-defined geometry (ray-trace)
 - $\circ \quad \text{Male and female human phantoms} \\$



Summary

- Radiation exposure is a significant challenge for human exploration of space
 - Large uncertainties in projecting short- and long-term health risks
- Passive shielding strategies continue to be investigated (good!)
 - High hydrogen content improves shield efficiency
 - Space radiation shielding efficiency for materials is generally well known (high TRL)
- Shield requirements are derived from allowable exposures set forth in NASA Std. 3001
 - Guidance is provided on thickness where possible
 - As low as reasonably achievable (ALARA) principle always applies
- OLTARIS provides a simple and fast on-line tool for assessing space radiation exposures
 - Capabilities support wide range of mission architectures and shielding configuration
 - Easily accessible lunar surface features